UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS

JUDITH THIBEAU and)		
GEORGE THIBEAU,)		
Plaintiffs,)		
)		
)		
v.)	DOCKET NO:	04CV10951MLW
)		
)		
EAST BOSTON NEIGHBORHOOD)		
HEALTH CENTER CORPORATION,)		
Defendant.)		
)		

CERTIFICATION OF FILING ALL PAPERS REQUIRED BY LOCAL RULE 8.1

I, Tory A. Weigand, attorney for the defendant, hereby affirm that the attached are certified copies of all records and proceedings in the Suffolk County Superior Court, including the filing of the Notice of Removal.

Respectfully Submitted,
The Defendant,
EAST BOSTON NEIGHBORHOOD
HEALTH CENTER,
By their attorneys,

MORRISON, MAHONEY & MILLER, LLP

/s/ Tory A. Weigand

Tory A. Weigand, BBO #548553 250 Summer Street Boston, MA 02210-1181 (617) 439-7500

I hereby certify that a true copy of the above document was served upon the attorney of record for each party by mail on 5/21/04

/s/ Tory A. Weigand

Tory A. Weigand

MAS-20030912 guen

Commonwealth of Massachusetts SUFFOLK SUPERIOR COURT Case Summary **Civil Docket**

05/13/2004 03:50 PM

SUCV2004-01415 Thibeau et al v East Boston Neighborhood Health Center

Sandas	07/01/2004	Annwar	08/30/2004	Pule42/49/20	00000004	
Lead Case		Track	F			
Origin	1	Case Type	B20 - Personal Injury-S	lip&Fall		
Status Date	05/13/2004	Session	B - Civil B			
File Date	04/02/2004	Status	Disposed: transfered to	other court (dtrans)		

1	Service	07/01/2004	Answer	08/30/2004	Rule12/19/20	08/30/2004
	Rule 15	08/30/2004	Discovery	01/27/2005	Rule 56	02/26/2005
	Final PTC	03/28/2005	Disposition	05/27/2005	Jury Trial	Yes

Plaintiff Judith Thibeau Active 04/02/2004

Private Counsel 543597

James L Frederick

Frederick & Associates (James L)

1330 Beacon Street Suite 311

Brookline, MA 02446

Phone: 617-738-7880 Fax: 617-738-9497

Active 04/02/2004 Notify

Plaintiff

George Thibeau Active 04/02/2004 *** See Attorney Information Above ***

Defendant

East Boston Neighborhood Health Center Health Center Corporation Service pending 04/02/2004

Private Counsel 276000

Peter C Knight

Morrison Mahoney & Miller 250 Summer Street

Boston, MA 02210-1181

Phone: 617-439-7500

Fax: 617-439-7590

Active 05/13/2004 Notify

Private Counsel 548553

Tory A Weigand

Morrison Mahoney & Miller

250 Summer Street Boston, MA 02210-1181

Phone: 617-439-7500

Fax: 617-439-7590

Active 05/13/2004 Notify

Date	Paper	Text
04/02/2004	1.0	Complaint & jury demand on complaint (all issues)

MAS-20030912 guen Commonwealth of Massachusetts
SUFFOLK SUPERIOR COURT
Case Summary
Civil Docket

05/13/2004 03:50 PM

SUCV2004-01415
Thibeau et al v East Boston Neighborhood Health Center

Date	Paper	Text	
04/02/2004		Origin 1, Type B20, Track F.	
04/02/2004	2.0	Civil action cover sheet filed	
04/12/2004	3.0	Amended complaint & jury demand (all issues)	
05/13/2004		Certified copy of petition for removal to U. S. Dist. Court of Deft.	
		East Boston Neighborhood Health Center Corporation U. S.	
		Dist.#(04-CV-10951MLW).	
05/13/2004		Case REMOVED this date to US District Court of Massachusetts	

MEREBY ATTEST AND CERTIFY ON

MAY 14, 2004 THAT THE

FOREGOING DOCUMENT IS A FULL, TRUE AND CORRECT COPY OF THE ORIGINAL ON FILE IN MY OFFICE, AND IN MY LEGAL GUSTODY.

MICHAEL JOSEPH DONOVAN CLERK/MAGISTRATE SUFFOLK SUPERIOR CIVIL COURT DIPARTMENT OF THE TRIAL COURT

ASSISTANT CLERK.

COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS

SUFFOLK, SS

V.

SUPERIOR COURT C.A. NO. 04-04-1415

JUDITH THIBEAU and GEORGE THIBEAU Plaintiffs

EAST BOSTON NEIGHBORHOOD HEALTH CENTER CORPORATION Defendant

COMPLAINT

- Plaintiff Judith Thibeau is an adult who lives at 53 Chaucer Street, East Boston.
 Massachusetts.
- Plaintiff George Thibeau is an adult who lives at 53 Chaucer Street, East Boston,
 Massachusetts, is married to plaintiff Judith Thibeau and was so married on September 26,
 2002.
- Defendant East Boston Neighborhood Health Center Corporation operates the East Boston Neighborhood Health Center which has offices at 79 Paris Street, East Boston, Suffolk County, Massachusetts.
- Defendant owns the building which is located at 79 Paris Street, East Boston, Suffolk County, Massachusetts.
- Defendant maintains the building which is located at 79 Paris Street, East Boston, Suffolk County, Massachusetts.
- On September 24, 2002 Judith Thibeau was a lawful visitor at a building located at 79 Paris Street, East Boston, Suffolk County, Massachusetts.
- 7. The purpose of Judith Thibeau's visit to the Health Center at 79 Paris Street was to have her eyes examined at the Vision Center of the East Boston Neighborhood Health Center [hereinafter referred to as "the Vision Center"].
- 8. The Vision Center is located on the second floor of the building at 79 Paris Street, East Boston.
- 9. The Vision Center is a subdivision of the defendant.

- 9. The Vision Center is a subdivision of the defendant.
- 10. The defendant administers the Vision Center.
- 11. The defendant is responsible for the maintenance of the premises located at 79 Paris Street,

 East Boston [hereinafter referred to as "the premises"]
- 12. The defendant owed a duty to protect lawful visitors such as Judith Thibeau against dangerous conditions on the premises.
- 13. The defendant owed a duty to lawful visitors such as Judith Thibeau to keep the premises reasonably safe.
- 14. On September 24, 2002 Judith Thibeau had her eyes examined at the Vision Center.
- 15. As part of her examination the the Vision Center administered dilating eye drops to Ms. Thibeau's eyes.
- 16. As a result of having her eyes dilated, Ms. Thibeau's' vision was impaired.
- 17. After her examination, and after having her eyes dilated, Ms. Thibeau left the Vision Center, and walked down the stairs of the building located at 79 Paris Street to the first floor of that building.
- 18. As Judith Thibeau walked down the stairs of the building located at 79 Paris Street she fell, thereby suffering personal injuries.
- Plaintiff has incurred expenses for medical treatment of her injuries of approximately \$217,000.
- 20. The defendant breached its duty of care to Ms. Thibeau and was therefore negligent by failing to provide Ms. Thibeau with a warning of the consequences and effect of dilation on Ms. Thibeau's vision.
- 21. The defendant breached its duty of care to Ms. Thibeau and was therefore negligent by failing to warn Ms. Thibeau that visual impairment caused by eye dilation would make it difficult and dangerous to walk down stairs.
- 22. The defendant failed to warn Ms. Thibeau that the vision impairment caused by the dilation would create a risk of harm to her in walking down the stairs.
- 23. The defendant breached its duty of care to Ms. Thibeau and was therefore negligent by failing to warn or advise Ms. Thibeau that there was an alternative to using the stairs (the elevator) that would alleviate the risk of walking down the stairs in a visually impaired condition.

- 24. The defendant breached its duty of care to Ms. Thibeau and was therefore negligent by failing to post a notice that the elevator was in service and available for visually impaired patients to travel from the second floor to the ground floor.
- 25. The aforementioned elevator would have allowed Ms. Thibeau to avoid the risk of harm that the stairs represented.
- 26. The defendant breached its duty to obtain Ms. Thibeau's informed consent for performing the eye dilation procedure on her by failing to warn her of the risks involved in the eye dilation procedure and by failing to warn Ms. Thibeau of the specific risks that the procedure would create for her in using the stairs at the Health Center.
- 27. The defendant breached its duty of care to Ms. Thibeau and was therefore negligent by failing to provide an adequate handrail where the stairs ended and where Ms. Thibeau fell.
- 28. The proximate cause of the aforementioned accident was the negligence of the defendant.
- 29. As a result of the injuries suffered by Ms. Thibeau, her husband George Thibeau has lost the companionship of his wife.
- 30. As a result of the injuries suffered by Ms. Thibeau, her husband George Thibeau has had to expend time and energy in caring for his wife.
- 31. As a result of the injuries suffered by Ms. Thibeau, her husband George Thibeau has suffered an interruption of and harm to his marital relationship with his wife.

COUNT I

- 32. Plaintiff repeats and realleges the allegations of paragraphs 1-31 as if fully set forth herein.
- 33. The negligence of defendant proximately caused plaintiff severe injury and disability, pain of body and mind, significant medical bills (present and future), and lost earning capacity.

WHEREFORE, the plaintiff Judith Thibeau, respectfully demands judgment against the defendant, in a full, fair and just amount together with interest, costs and, if applicable, attorneys fees.

COUNT II

34. Plaintiff repeats and realleges the allegations of paragraphs 1-31 as if fully set forth herein.

35. The negligence of defendant proximately caused injury to plaintiff Judith Thibeau and thereby caused plaintiff George Thibeau to suffer a loss of companionship, services and consortium of his wife, Judith Thibeau.

WHEREFORE, the plaintiff George Thibeau, respectfully demands judgment against the defendant, in a full, fair and just amount together with interest, costs and attorneys fees if applicable.

JURY CLAIM

Plaintiff claims a trial by jury on all claims and issues so triable.

MERCBY ATTEST AND CERTIFY ON

MAY 14, 2004 THAT THE

PORTECING DOCUMENT IS A FULL, TRUE AND CORRECT COPY OF THE ORIGINAL ON FILE IN MY OFFICE, AND IN MY LEGAL GUSTODY.

MICHAEL JÖSEPH DONOVAN CLERK / MAGISTRATE SUFFOLK SUPERIOR CIVIL COURT RITMENT OF THE TRIAL COURT

ASSISTANT CLERK.

Plaintiffs

Judith and George Thibeau

by their attorney

lames L. Frederick

Koufman & Frederick 1330 Beacon St., Suite 311

Brookline, MA 02446

CIVIL ACTION COVER SHEET	04-1415		Trial Court of Massac Superior Court Depa County: SUFFOLK	
PLAINTHF(S) Judith Thibeau and (Sorge Thibeau	DEFENDANT(S) East Bosto Center Cor	on Neighborhood Health	
ATTORNEY, FIRM NAME, ADDRESS AND TEL James L. Frederick, Esq. Koufman & Frederick, LLP 1330 Beacon St., Suite 33 Board of Bar Overseens number: \$5435		ATTORNEY (If lond	own)	:
	Origin code and	track designa		
Place an x in one box only: 1. F01 Original Complaint 2. F02 Removal to Sup.Ct. C (Before trial) (F) 3. F03 Retransfer to Sup.Ct.		trial 5. F05	District Court Appeal c.231, s. (X) Reactivated after rescript; relicgment/Order (Mass.R.Civ.P. 60) Summary Process Appeal (X)	of from (X)
	E OF ACTION AND TRACK	DESIGNATION	ł (See reverse side)	
CODE NO. TYPE OF A	CTION (specify) TRACK	IS THIS	A JURY CASE?	
The following is a full, itemi	& FALL (F)	_(X) Ye	s ()No	
The following is a full, itemi money damages. For this fo	zed and detailed stateme	nt of the fact	ts on which plaintiff rélies se claims: indicate single (to determine Jamages only.
money damages. Por this id		CLAIMS	to errune) meretre småre .	
	(Attach additional s	•	sary)	
2. Total Doctor expenses .			over over over exp. x-rayen appear.	€ 1,400.™ € 64.000
B. Documented lost wages and C. Documented property damag D. Reasonably anticipated future Reasonably anticipated lost v Other documented items of d	es to date	ses .not pre	vailable	SUPERIOR COMPANY
G. Brief description of plaintiff's Comminuted fractures Complications and del and impairment of fur	of left ankle fibula ay in healing causing	dent of injury (d /h numerous so	tescribe) 🚉 🗷	737 400 217,400
Provide a detailed description of cl	(Attach additional s	CT CLAIMS heets as neces	•	
			TOTAL	\$.
PLEASE IDENTIFY, BY CASE N COURT DEPARTMENT	JMBER, NAME AND COUNT	Y, ANY RELAT	ED ACTION PENDING IN THE	SUPERIOR
"I hereby certify that I have cor Dispute Resolution (SJC Rule 1 resolution services and discus	::18) requiring that I provide s with them the advantages	my clients wi and disadvan	ith Information about court-co tages of the various methods	onnected dispute
Signature of Attorney of Record	Jan Dota	ederia	<u>k</u> DAT	E: 4/2/04

AOTC-6 mtc005-11/99 A.O.S.C. 1-2000

. MERENY ATTEST AND CERTIFY ON

MAY 14,-2004 THAT THE

FOREGOING DOCUMENT IS A PULL, TRUE AND CORRECT COPY OF THE ORIGINAL ON FILE IN MY OFFICE, AND IN MY LEGAL GUSTODY.

MICHAEL JÖSEPH DONOVAN
CLERK / MAGISTRATE
SURFOLK-SUPERIOR CIVIL COURT
OBSTRIMENT OF THE TRIAL COURT
MAGNET OF THE TRIAL COURT

ASSISTANT CLERK.

COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS

SUFFOLK, SS

SUPERIOR COURT C.A. NO. 04-1415

JUDITH THIBEAU and **GEORGE THIBEAU Plaintiffs**

V,

EAST BOSTON NEIGHBORHOOD **HEALTH CENTER CORPORATION** Defendant

IGHBORHOOD CORPORATION PLAINTIFFS' FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINTE

- Plaintiff Judith Thibeau is an adult who lives at 53 Chaucer Street, East Boston, 1. Massachusetts.
- Plaintiff George Thibeau is an adult who lives at 53 Chaucer Street, East Boston, 2. Massachusetts, is married to plaintiff Judith Thibeau and was so married on September 26, 2002.
- Defendant East Boston Neighborhood Health Center Corporation operates the East Boston 3. Neighborhood Health Center which has offices at 79 Paris Street, East Boston, Suffolk County, Massachusetts.
- Defendant owns the building which is located at 79 Paris Street, East Boston, Suffolk 4. County, Massachusetts.
- Defendant maintains the building which is located at 79 Paris Street, East Boston, Suffolk 5. County, Massachusetts.
- On September 26, 2002 Judith Thibeau was a lawful visitor at a building located at 79 Paris 6. Street, East Boston, Suffolk County, Massachusetts.
- The purpose of Judith Thibeau's visit to the Health Center at 79 Paris Street was to have her 7. eyes examined at the Vision Center of the East Boston Neighborhood Health Center [hereinafter referred to as "the Vision Center"].
- 8. The Vision Center is located on the second floor of the building at 79 Paris Street, East Boston.

- The Vision Center is a subdivision of the defendant. 9.
- The defendant administers the Vision Center. 10.
- The defendant is responsible for the maintenance of the premises located at 79 Paris Street, 11. East Boston [hereinafter referred to as "the premises"]
- The defendant owed a duty to protect lawful visitors such as Judith Thibeau against 12. dangerous conditions on the premises.
- The defendant owed a duty to lawful visitors such as Judith Thibeau to keep the premises 13. reasonably safe.
- On September 26, 2002 Judith Thibeau had her eyes examined at the Vision Center. 14.
- As part of her examination the the Vision Center administered dilating eye drops to Ms. 15. Thibeau's eyes.
- As a result of having her eyes dilated, Ms. Thibeau's' vision was impaired. 16.
- After her examination, and after having her eyes dilated, Ms. Thibeau left the Vision Center, 17. and walked down the stairs of the building located at 79 Paris Street to the first floor of that
- As Judith Thibeau walked down the stairs of the building located at 79 Paris Street she fell, 18. thereby suffering personal injuries.
- Plaintiff has incurred expenses for medical treatment of her injuries of approximately 19. \$217,000.
- The defendant breached its duty of care to Ms. Thibeau and was therefore negligent by 20. failing to provide Ms. Thibeau with a warning of the consequences and effect of dilation on Ms. Thibeau's vision.
- The defendant breached its duty of care to Ms. Thibeau and was therefore negligent by 21. failing to warn Ms. Thibeau that visual impairment caused by eye dilation would make it difficult and dangerous to walk down stairs.
- The defendant failed to warn Ms. Thibeau that the vision impairment caused by the dilation 22. would create a risk of harm to her in walking down the stairs.
- The defendant breached its duty of care to Ms. Thibeau and was therefore negligent by 23. failing to warn or advise Ms. Thibeau that there was an alternative to using the stairs (the elevator) that would alleviate the risk of walking down the stairs in a visually impaired condition.

- The defendant breached its duty of care to Ms. Thibeau and was therefore negligent by 24. failing to post a notice that the elevator was in service and available for visually impaired patients to travel from the second floor to the ground floor.
- The aforementioned elevator would have allowed Ms. Thibeau to avoid the risk of harm that 25. the stairs represented.
- The defendant breached its duty to obtain Ms. Thibeau's informed consent for performing 26. the eye dilation procedure on her by failing to warn her of the risks involved in the eye dilation procedure and by failing to warn Ms. Thibeau of the specific risks that the procedure would create for her in using the stairs at the Health Center.
- The defendant breached its duty of care to Ms. Thibeau and was therefore negligent by 27. failing to provide an adequate handrail where the stairs ended and where Ms. Thibeau fell.
- The proximate cause of the aforementioned accident was the negligence of the defendant. 28.
- As a result of the injuries suffered by Ms. Thibeau, her husband George Thibeau has lost 29. the companionship of his wife.
- As a result of the injuries suffered by Ms. Thibeau, her husband George Thibeau has had to **30**. expend time and energy in caring for his wife.
- As a result of the injuries suffered by Ms. Thibeau, her husband George Thibeau has 31. suffered an interruption of and harm to his marital relationship with his wife.

COUNT I

and the second s

- Plaintiff repeats and realleges the allegations of paragraphs 1-31 as if fully set forth herein. 32.
- The negligence of defendant proximately caused plaintiff severe injury and disability, pain 33. of body and mind, significant medical bills (present and future), and lost earning capacity.

WHEREFORE, the plaintiff Judith Thibeau, respectfully demands judgment against the defendant, in a full, fair and just amount together with interest, costs and, if applicable, attorneys fees.

COUNT II

Plaintiff repeats and realleges the allegations of paragraphs 1-31 as if fully set forth herein. 34.